

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,976	ALMARSSOO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623	

All Participants:

 (1) Traviss C. McIntosh.

 (2) Mary Appollina.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2007
Time: 12:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Called to inform applicants the case would be in condition for allowance if the attached examiner's amendment were authorized, wherein applicants authorized the examiners amendment to advance prosecution in the case and pass the case to issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)